RECEIVED

AUG 1 4 2008

JOSEPH VICTOR LAGANA 5622 STROHM AVE N. HOLLYWOOD, CA 91601 josephyl@yahoo.com

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT of CALIFORNIA

JOSEPH VICTOR LAGANA Plaintiff / Petitioner)))	Case No. 4:08-cv-03392-CW
Vs.))	Second
)	Amended Complaint
SAN FRANCISCO POLICE)	1
DEPARTMENT & CITY AND)	Original complaint filed in Superior Court
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO)	May 29, 2008
Defendant / Respondent)	•

Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint and Causes of Action

- 1 Plaintiff Joseph Victor Lagana hereby complains of defendants "San Francisco Police
- 2 Department and "City and County of San Francisco" and alleges as follows.
- 3 Plaintiff Joseph Victor Lagana is an individual and a resident of Los Angeles County
- 4 Defendant "San Francisco Police Department is a law enforcement agency
- 5 Defendant "City and County of San Francisco is a municipality
- 6 Some of the true names of the defendant's agents are unknown at this time and
- 7 plaintiff will amend his complaint if needed accordingly
- 8 At all relevant times said defendants were acting within the course and scope of their
- 9 employment as officers, sergeants, captains, and / or civilian employees policy makers and representatives of the City of San Francisco and the wrongful acts hereinafter
- 10 described flow from their exercise of their authority.
- 11 At all times relevant, defendant "San Francisco Police Department" were acting under
- 12 color of law, to wit, under the color of the statues, ordinances, regulations, policies,
- 13 customs, practices, and usages of defendant "City and County of San Francisco" and the
- 14 State of California.
- 15 Plaintiff has complied with all notice requirements including timely presenting a claim
- 16 for damages against the "City and County of San Francisco" which was later denied by
- 17 defendant "City and County of San Francisco"

Case 4:08-cv-03392-CW Complaint

Document 11, Filed 08/14/2008 Page 2 of 6
Joseph Victor Lagona Vs. S. F.P.D. & C.C.S.F.
Case No. 4'.08-CV-03392-CW

General Factual Background,

Causes of Action - Intentional Tort, Fraud, and General Negligence

I. Intentional Tort

- 18 Plaintiff Joseph Lagana was protesting in-front of "Ross Stores" location on 4th & Market 19 St. in San Francisco, CA on or around May 15th 2008. S.F.P.D. officer "L. Cueba"
- 20 grabbed the plaintiff by his sweater with full force and told the plaintiff to leave the area
- 21 of 4th and Market St. and cease his protesting of Pleasanton Police Department. Plaintiff
- 22 stated that he is on a public sidewalk and he has a right to protest which is protected by
- 23 the 1st Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and asked officer "L. Cueba" to let go of him
- 24 and his sweater. Officer "L. Cueba" stated "I am a cop!" and refused to let go. Plaintiff
- 25 stated he would defend himself regardless, and that officer "L. Cueba" had committed
- 26 assault against him. According to C.P.C. 240., "An assault is an unlawful attempt,
- 27 coupled with a present ability, to commit a violent injury on the person of another." This
- 28 was a joint effort of "Ross Stores" and S.F.P.D., and upon discovery "Ross Stores" may
- 29 become an added defendant. Plaintiff Joseph Victor Lagana protested at this location on
- 30 other dates and was harassed by the defendant's agents, and the most remarkable is the
- 31 day officer "L. Cueba" assaulted the plaintiff and violated his 1st Amendment right. The
- 32 plaintiff's right to protest was violated and has taken action according to USC Section
- 33 1983. Civil action for deprivation of rights.
- 34 Plaintiff has also been frequently threatened, harassed, and stalked by unknown S.F.P.D.
- 35 officers Plaintiff plans on filing a Pitchess motion in order to find out who these officers
- 36 were. Plaintiff was falsely arrested on 4 occasions by the S.F.P.D. and plaintiff alleges
- 37 that their action was in retaliation to plaintiff filing a lawsuit against the S.F.P.D. because
- 38 all arrests occurred after the filing of the original complaint in the San Francisco Superior
- 39 Court (their case no. CGC-08-475803).
- 40 Plaintiff needs to commit discovery to get more details, however officers "Toomey and
- 41 Reyes" were arresting officers in one occasion and on the scene in almost every occasion
- 42 of the plaintiff's arrests
- 43 According to CPC 146. "Every public officer, or person pretending to be a public
- 44 officer, who, under the pretense or color of any process or other legal authority, does any
- 45 of the following, without a regular process or other lawful authority, is guilty of a
- 46 misdemeanor:
- 47 (a) Arrests any person or detains that person against his or her will.
- 48 (b) Seizes or levies upon any property."
- 49 The plaintiff was inconvenienced, lost his job, missed 2 flights, and still has property yet
- 50 to be returned by the S.F.P.D., including 26 photographs that are evidence in this case.
- 51 At time of the third arrest of Joseph Victor Lagana, plaintiff alleges that S.F.P.D. officer
- 52 "L. Bertrand" Star number 414, falsely arrested, assaulted, battered, and attempted
- 53 murder on the plaintiff by means of asphyxiation while acting as an agent / officer of
- 54 "San Francisco Police Department".
- 55 On or around July 14th 2008, plaintiff was falsely arrested for yelling, "Fuck the police!".
- 56 Officer Morgado from Southern Station in San Francisco, along with his partner stated,
- 57 "If you yell, "Fuck the police, you go to jail." This is clearly a first amendment violation.

Page Zof5

Filed 08/14/2008 Page 3 of 6 Case 4:08-cv-03392-CW Document 11 Joseph Victor Lagana VF. S. F.P.D. Case No. 4:08-CV-03392-Complaint I, Intentional Port cont 58 Plaintiff did not give the arresting officers consent to search his property. They searched 59 his property anyway. Upon discovery of the plaintiff's digital camera, Officer Morgado's 60 partner deleted all photos and videos of the plaijntiff's contact and recorded harassment 61 from/by S.F.P.D. officers. This is destruction of evidence, a federal crime. Plaintiff was 62 detained for 16 hours for allegedly being drunk in public. Plaintiff was not drunk. 63 Sheriffs of County Jail #8 let out stumbling drunks hours before the plaintiff whom asked 64 for a breathalyzer test, blood test or sobriety test from both the arresting officers and the 65 sheriff's of San Francisco and was refused accordingly. 66 Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress, a mass amount of medical bills, deprivation of 67 liberty, and misery as a direct result of the defendant's malicious retaliation to the 68 plaintiff filing a lawsuit against the defendant. 69 Plaintiff prays for the relief sought in this action for wage loss, loss of use of property, 70 hospital and medical expenses, general damages, property damages, loss of earning 71 capacity, and emotional distress and incompetence in the work force caused by the 72 defendants. II. Cause Of Action - Fraud 73 Defendant made representations of material fact which was intentional misrepresentation. 74 Defendant made false police reports stating that plaintiff was intoxicated on 3 occasions. 75 Defendant made a false report stating that the plaintiff committed violations of California 76 Penal Codes 245 (Assault w/ a deadly weapon), 242 (battery), and terrorist threats (unk. 77 Penal Code), which are felonies and a misdemeanor. 78 These representations were in fact false, the truth was as follows: Plaintiff was never 79 intoxicated every time the defendant charged the plaintiff with public intoxication. The 80 plaintiff was the victim when the defendant arrested him the third occasion, and failed to 81 arrest the plaintiff's assailant nor write a report against/not in favor of the assailant. The 82 district attorney of San Francisco rejected every case the S.F.P.D. brought against the 83 plaintiff due to lack of evidence and the interest of justice. When defendant made the 84 representations with the intent to defraud and induce plaintiff to act as described in the 85 above lines. At the time plaintiff acted, plaintiff did not know the representations 86 were false and believed they were true. Plaintiff acted in justifiable reliance upon the 87 truth of the representations. Defendant concealed and suppressed material facts as 88 follows: Defendant failed to provide a sobriety test, blood test, or Breathalyzer test. 89 Defendant failed to arrest the other party (true assailant) involved in the case plaintiff was 90 charged with. By telling plaintiff other facts to mislead plaintiff and prevent plaintiff

91 from discovering the concealed. Defendant made a promise about a material matter

92 without any intention of performing it as follows:

93 S.F.P.D. officers have threatened to arrest the plaintiff or stated, "Do you want to go to

94 jail?" on multiple occasions for him protesting about the S.F.P.D. They never followed

95 through and this is a scare tactic to silence the plaintiff.

96 Defendant's promise without any intention of performance was made with the intent to

97 defraud and induce plaintiff to rely upon it and to act as described in the above lines. At

98 the time plaintiff acted, plaintiff was unaware of defendant's intention not to perform the

99 promise. Plaintiff acted in justifiable reliance upon the promise.

100The relief sought in this complaint is within the jurisdiction of this court because this was 101a simple matter and it gained Federal court jurisdiction because the defendant has acted in

Page 30f5

Case 4:08-cv-03392-CW Document 11 Filed 08/14/20/28/cdPageo490402 vs. St. P.D. Complaint

L. Cause of Action-Fraud cont.

Cose N.4:08-cv-03392-cw

102a malicious manner after filing and caused serious injury to the plaintiff to cause him to 103make errors in his subsequent filings. Plaintiff prays for compensatory damages, punitive 104damages, exemplary damages, in the amount of \$308,000,000 and injunctive relief in the 105form of forcing the defendant "City and County of San Francisco" to install cameras and 106audio recording devices in all law enforcement vehicles in the City and County of San 107Francisco in order to deter future abuse of detainees while in custody.

Page 4of 5

Complaint

JOSEPH VICTOR LAGANAVS S.F.P.DEC.C.S.F. Case No. 4:08-cv-03392-cw

2 S.F.P.D. neglected to arrest the "Ross Stores" manager/employee that assaulted the plaintiff with a lit cigarette butt directly in-front of an S.F.P.D. officer.

3 Plaintiff has obtained a picture of the employee and positively ID' the assailant.

4 According to CPC 142. (a) Any peace officer who has the authority to receive or arrest a

5 person charged with a criminal offense and willfully refuses to receive or arrest that

6 person shall be punished by a fine not exceeding ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), or by imprisonment in the state prison, or in a county jail not exceeding one year, or both that

If the and imprisonment.

q On the third occasion plaintiff was arrested by the defendant S.F.P.D., his property was soleft in the streets and not retrieved by the S.F.P.D. whom neglected his property to be solved by locals.

13S.F.P.D. neglected to respect the plaintiff's constitutional rights on multiple occasions.

13 All government law enforcement take an oath to protect, respect, and uphold the U.S.

14 Constitution, and regardless if it costs them a potential case. S.F.P.D. has nelected to

15 suspend or arrest Officers "Toomey", "Reyes", and L. Bertrand" although they

16 frequently violate the civil and constitutional rights of the plaintiff and harrass him.

17 Officer "L. Bertraind" (Star number 414) indefinitely should have been arrested for his

18 malicious and oppressive actions against the plaintiff while on duty for the S.F.P.D. The

19 S.F.P.D., the District Attorney's Office of San Francisco, and the Office of Citizen

20 Complaints have failed to take any action against Officer "L. Bertrand". On or around

21 July 17th 2008, Officer "L. Bertrand" detained the plaintiff for almost 30 minutes for

22 protesting about the S.F.P.D> in Union Square on 4th and Markets Streets of San

23 Francisco, CA and searched and seized the plaintiff's property without the plaintiff's

24 consent. Clearly a 4th Amendment right violation by the defendant while a case was

25 pending in Superior Court of San Francisco. Defendant is in contempt of court for

26 detaining the plaintiff while returning home from court.

Under perjury the forgeoing is true

and correct

Signed & Dated by Plaintiff 2/12/08
Joseph Victor Lagana

Case 4:08-cv-03392-CW

Document 11

Filed 08/14/2008 Page 6 of 6

S JS 44 (Rev. 12/07) (cand rev 1-16-0 The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the by local rules of court. This form, a the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTR	information contained her	rein neither replace i	nor supple	ER SHEI ement the filing and es in September 19	.	pleadings or other papers irred for the use of the Cle	• •		as provide
L (a) PLAINTIFFS	COCTIONS ON PAGE I	WO OF THE FORM	<u>(1.)</u>						
JOSEPH VICTOR LAGANA				DEFENDANTS "SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT" & "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO"					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff LOS ANGELES (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant SAN FRANCISCO (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)					
5622 STROHM AVE NORTH HOLLYWOOD, CA 91601 818-769-0300 josephvl@yahoo.com Pro Se				ANDREW GSCHWIND, STATE BAR #231700 CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE - FOX PLAZA 1390 MARKET ST. 6TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-5408					
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	ΓΙΟΝ (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. C	ITIZENSHIP	OF PR	INCIPAL PARTIE	'C /D		
1 U.S. Government X 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) 2 U.S. Government		Cit	(For Diversity Ca izen of This State izen of Another State	ises Only) PTF	DEF Incorporated or P of Business Incorporated and	and One Bo rincipal Place In This State Principal Place	in One Box for Defenda PTF 4		
(indeate Chizenship of Factes at item III)				izen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<u> </u>	of Business 3 Foreign Nation	In Another State	□ 6	 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (PI	ace an "X" in One Box Only	v)		. oreign country				····	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	TC	RTS		FORFEITURE/PI	ENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	ОТ	HER STATI	UTES
120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Force losure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY [310 Airplane [315 Airplane Product Liability [320 Assault, Libel & Slander [330 Federal Employers' Liability [340 Marine [345 Marine Product Liability [350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability [360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS [441 Voting [442 Employment [443 Housing/ Accommodations [444 Welfare [445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment [446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other [440 Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL IN 362 Personal Inj Med. Malpn 365 Personal Inj Product Liab 368 Asbestos Per Injury Produ Liability PERSONAL PROI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Len 380 Other Person Property Dan 385 Property Dan Product Liab PRISONE PETITION 510 Motions to V Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalt; 540 Mandamus & 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condi	rry— Lectice	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & 625 Drug Related of Property 2 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other T10 Fair Labor Ste Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. 730 Labor/Mgmt. 730 Labor/Mgmt. 740 Railway Labo 790 Other Labor L. 791 Empl. Ret. Inc Security Act 1MMIGRATI 462 Naturalization A 463 Habeas Corpu. 465 Other Immigra Actions	Seizure I USC 881 andards Relations Reporting Act r Act citigation ON pplication s – e	422 Appeal 28 USC 15 423 Withdrawal	410 A 430 B 430 B 450 C FS 460 D 480 C 480 C 490 C 810 S 875 C 1: 891 A 892 E 893 E 894 E 895 FT S A FT 900Ap U to	anks and Bank ommerce eportation acketeer Influe orrupt Organiz onsumer Credi able/Sat TV elective Service curities/Comm xchange ustomer Challe 2 USC 3410 ther Statutory A gricultural Acts conomic Stabili uvironmental N energy Allocatio eedom of Infor	ing inced and ations t inced and ations t inced and ations t inced and ations and ations and ations and ations and ations and ation and ation and ation actor ation actor ation actor a
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in (Proceeding Proceeding State Cou	i from 3 Remai	ate Court	Reinstat Reopen	ed or 5 anot	cify)	6 Multidistrict Litigation	— 7	Appeal to Dis Judge from Magistrate Judgment	trict
VL CAUSE OF ACTION	US STATE CODE Brief description of ca	TITLE 42 SECT	ou are fili	ing (Do not cite ju 3, Civil action for	risdiction or depriva	nal statutes unless dive ation of rights	rsity):		

1st, 4th, 5th, 6th, and 14th Amendment rights protected by the Constitution have been violated by the defendant many times

VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION **DEMAND \$** 308,000,000 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: **COMPLAINT:** UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND: Yes No VIII. RELATED CASE(S)

PLEASE REFER TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 CONCERNING REQUIREMENT TO FILE "NOTICE OF RELATED CASE". Superior Court County of San Francisco CGC-08-475803 IF ANY

IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (CIVIL L.R. 3-2) (PLACE AND "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND

☐ SAN JOSE

DATE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD